

RIGHT AMENABILITY AND GROWTH OF FINITELY RIGHT GENERATED LEFT GROUP SETS

SIMON WACKER

ABSTRACT. We introduce right generating sets, Cayley graphs, growth functions, types and rates, and isoperimetric constants for left homogeneous spaces equipped with coordinate systems; characterise right amenable finitely right generated left homogeneous spaces with finite stabilisers as those whose isoperimetric constant is 0; and prove that finitely right generated left homogeneous spaces with finite stabilisers of sub-exponential growth are right amenable, in particular, quotient sets of groups of sub-exponential growth by finite subgroups are right amenable.

The notion of amenability for groups was introduced by John von Neumann in 1929. It generalises the notion of finiteness. A group G is *left* or *right amenable* if there is a finitely additive probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(G)$ that is invariant under left and right multiplication respectively. Groups are left amenable if and only if they are right amenable. A group is *amenable* if it is left or right amenable.

The definitions of left and right amenability generalise to left and right group sets respectively. A left group set $(M, G, \triangleright)$ is *left amenable* if there is a finitely additive probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ that is invariant under $\triangleright$. There is in general no natural action on the right that is to a left group action what right multiplication is to left group multiplication. Therefore, for a left group set there is no natural notion of right amenability.

A transitive left group action $\triangleright$ of G on M induces, for each element $m_0 \in M$ and each family $\{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}$ of elements in G such that, for each point $m \in M$, we have $g_{m_0, m} \triangleright m_0 = m$, a right quotient set semi-action $\triangleleft$ of G/G_0 on M with defect G_0 given by $m \triangleleft gG_0 = g_{m_0, m} g g_{m_0, m}^{-1} \triangleright m$, where G_0 is the stabiliser of m_0 under $\triangleright$. Each of these

Key words and phrases. group actions, generating sets, Cayley graphs, growth rates, isoperimetric constants, amenability.

right semi-actions is to the left group action what right multiplication is to left group multiplication. They occur in the definition of global transition functions of cellular automata over left homogeneous spaces as defined in [6]. A *cell space* is a left group set together with choices of m_0 and $\{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}$.

A cell space is *right amenable* if there is a finitely additive probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ that is semi-invariant under $\triangleleft$. For example cell spaces with finite sets of cells, abelian groups, and finitely right generated cell spaces with finite stabilisers of sub-exponential growth are right amenable, in particular, quotients of finitely generated groups of sub-exponential growth by finite subgroups acted on by left multiplication. A net of non-empty and finite subsets of M is a *right Følner net* if, broadly speaking, these subsets are asymptotically invariant under $\triangleleft$. A finite subset E of G/G_0 and two partitions $\{A_e\}_{e \in E}$ and $\{B_e\}_{e \in E}$ of M constitute a *right paradoxical decomposition* if the map $_ \triangleleft e$ is injective on A_e and B_e , and the family $\{(A_e \triangleleft e) \cup (B_e \triangleleft e)\}_{e \in E}$ is a partition of M . The Tarski-Følner theorem states that right amenability, the existence of right Følner nets, and the non-existence of right paradoxical decompositions are equivalent. We prove it in [7] for cell spaces with finite stabilisers.

A cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is *finitely right generated* if there is a finite subset S of G/G_0 such that, for each point $m \in M$, there is a family $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ of elements in $S \cup S^{-1}$ such that $m = (((m_0 \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_k$. The finite right generating set S induces the *S-Cayley graph* structure on M : For each point $m \in M$ and each generator $s \in S$, there is an edge from m to $m \triangleleft s$. The length of the shortest path between two points of M yields the *S-metric*. The ball of radius $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0$ centred at $m \in M$, denoted by $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$, is the set of all points whose distance to m is less than or equal to ρ . The *S-growth function* is the map $\gamma_S: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$, $k \mapsto |\mathbb{B}_S(m, k)|$; the *growth type of $\mathcal{R}$* , which does not depend on S , is the equivalence class $[\gamma_S]_{\sim}$, where two growth functions are equivalent if they dominate each other; and the *S-growth rate* is the limit point of the sequence $(\sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

A finitely right generated cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is said to have *sub-exponential growth* if its growth type is not $[\exp]_{\sim}$, which is the case if and only if its growth rates are 1. The *S-isoperimetric constant* is a real number between 0 and 1 that measures, broadly speaking, the invariance under $\leq|_{M \times S}$ that a finite subset of M can have, where 0 means maximally and 1 minimally invariant. In the case that G_0 is finite, this constant is 0 if and only if $\mathcal{R}$ is right amenable, and if $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth, then it is right amenable, and if G has sub-exponential growth, then so has $\mathcal{R}$.

Cayley graphs were introduced by Arthur Cayley in his paper ‘Desiderata and suggestions: No. 2. The Theory of groups: graphical representation’[1]. The notion of growth was introduced by Vadim Arsenyevich Efremovich and Albert S. Švarc in their papers ‘The geometry of proximity’[3] and ‘A volume invariant of coverings’[5]. Mikhail Leonidovich Gromov was the first to study groups through their word metrics, see for example his paper ‘Infinite Groups as Geometric Objects’[4]. The present paper is greatly inspired by the monograph ‘Cellular Automata and Groups’[2] by Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein and Michel Coornaert.

In Section 1 we introduce right generating sets. In Section 2 we recapitulate directed multigraphs. In Section 3 we introduce Cayley graphs induced by right generating sets. In Section 4 we introduce metrics and lengths induced by Cayley graphs. In Section 5 we consider balls and spheres induced by metrics. In Section 6 we consider interiors, closures, and boundaries of any thickness of sets. In Section 7 we recapitulate growth functions and types. In Section 8 we introduce growth functions and types of cell spaces. In Section 9 we introduce growth rates of cell spaces. In Section 10 we prove that right amenability and having isoperimetric constant 0 are equivalent, and we characterise right Følner nets. And in Section 11 we prove that having sub-exponential growth implies right amenability.

Preliminary Notions. A *left group set* is a triple $(M, G, \triangleright)$, where M is a set, G is a group, and $\triangleright$ is a map from $G \times M$ to M , called *left group action of G on M* , such that $G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M)$, $g \mapsto [g \triangleright _]$, is a group

homomorphism. The action $\triangleright$ is *transitive* if M is non-empty and for each $m \in M$ the map $_ \triangleright m$ is surjective; and *free* if for each $m \in M$ the map $_ \triangleright m$ is injective. For each $m \in M$, the set $G \triangleright m$ is the *orbit* of m , the set $G_m = (_ \triangleright m)^{-1}(m)$ is the *stabiliser* of m , and, for each $m' \in M$, the set $G_{m,m'} = (_ \triangleright m)^{-1}(m')$ is the *transporter* of m to m' .

A *left homogeneous space* is a left group set $\mathcal{M} = (M, G, \triangleright)$ such that $\triangleright$ is transitive. A *coordinate system* for $\mathcal{M}$ is a tuple $\mathcal{K} = (m_0, \{g_{m_0,m}\}_{m \in M})$, where $m_0 \in M$ and for each $m \in M$ we have $g_{m_0,m} \triangleright m_0 = m$. The stabiliser G_{m_0} is denoted by G_0 . The tuple $\mathcal{R} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K})$ is a *cell space*. The map $\trianglelefteq: M \times G/G_0 \rightarrow M$, $(m, gG_0) \mapsto g_{m_0,m} g g_{m_0,m}^{-1} \triangleright m (= g_{m_0,m} g \triangleright m_0)$ is a *right semi-action* of G/G_0 on M with defect G_0 , which means that

$$\forall m \in M : m \trianglelefteq G_0 = m,$$

$$\forall m \in M \forall g \in G \exists g_0 \in G_0 : \forall \mathfrak{g}' \in G/G_0 : m \trianglelefteq g \cdot \mathfrak{g}' = (m \trianglelefteq gG_0) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}'.$$

It is *transitive*, which means that the set M is non-empty and for each $m \in M$ the map $m \trianglelefteq _$ is surjective; and *free*, which means that for each $m \in M$ the map $m \trianglelefteq _$ is injective; and *semi-commutes with* $\triangleright$, which means that

$$\forall m \in M \forall g \in G \exists g_0 \in G_0 : \forall \mathfrak{g}' \in G/G_0 : (g \triangleright m) \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}' = g \triangleright (m \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}').$$

The maps $\iota: M \rightarrow G/G_0$, $m \mapsto G_{m_0,m}$, and $m_0 \trianglelefteq _$ are inverse to each other. Under the identification of M with G/G_0 by either of these maps, we have $\trianglelefteq: (m, \mathfrak{g}) \mapsto g_{m_0,m} \triangleright \mathfrak{g}$.

A left homogeneous space $\mathcal{M}$ is *right amenable* if there is a coordinate system $\mathcal{K}$ for $\mathcal{M}$ and there is a finitely additive probability measure μ on M such that

$$\forall \mathfrak{g} \in G/G_0 \forall A \subseteq M : ((_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \upharpoonright_A \text{ injective} \implies \mu(A \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) = \mu(A)),$$

in which case the cell space $\mathcal{R} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K})$ is called *right amenable*. When the stabiliser G_0 is finite, that is the case if and only if there is a *right Følner net in $\mathcal{R}$ indexed by $(I, \leq)$* , which is a net $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\{F \subseteq M \mid F \neq \emptyset, F \text{ finite}\}$ such that

$$\forall \mathfrak{g} \in G/G_0 : \lim_{i \in I} \frac{|F_i \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(F_i)|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

1. RIGHT GENERATING SETS

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space.

In Definition 1.1 we define right generating sets of $\mathcal{R}$. And in Lemma 1.4 we show how generating sets of G induce right ones of $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 1.1. Let S be a subset of G/G_0 such that $G_0 \cdot S \subseteq S$.

- (1) The set $\{g^{-1}G_0 \mid s \in S, g \in s\}$ is denoted by S^{-1} .
- (2) The set S is said to *right generate* $\mathcal{R}$, called *right generating set of* $\mathcal{R}$, and each element $s \in S$ is called *right generator* if and only if, for each element $m \in M$, there is a non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and there is a family $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ of elements in $S \cup S^{-1}$ such that

$$\left(((m_0 \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots \right) \triangleleft s_k = m.$$

- (3) The set S is called *symmetric* if and only if $S^{-1} \subseteq S$.

Definition 1.2. The cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is called *finitely right generated* if and only if there is a right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$ that is finite.

Remark 1.3. If S is a right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$, then $S \cup S^{-1}$ is a symmetric one; and, if S is also finite and G_0 is finite, then $S \cup S^{-1}$ is finite.

Lemma 1.4. Let T be a generating set of G . The set $S = \{g_0 \cdot tG_0 \mid g_0 \in G_0, t \in T\}$ is a right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$. And, if T is symmetric, then so is S . And, if T and G_0 are finite, then so is S .

Proof. Let $m \in M$. Then, because $\triangleleft$ is transitive, there is a $g \in G$ such that $m_0 \triangleleft gG_0 = m$. Moreover, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and there is a $\{t_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq T \cup T^{-1}$ such that $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k = g$. Furthermore, there is a $\{g_{i,0}\}_{i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}} \subseteq G_0$ such that

$$\left(((m_0 \triangleleft t_1 G_0) \triangleleft g_{2,0} t_2 G_0) \triangleleft \dots \right) \triangleleft g_{k,0} t_k G_0 = m_0 \triangleleft t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k G_0 = m.$$

In conclusion, because $t_1 G_0 \in S \cup S^{-1}$ and $\{g_{i,0} t_i G_0\}_{i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S \cup S^{-1}$, the set S is a right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

Let T be symmetric. Furthermore, let $s \in S$ and let $g \in s$. Then, there is a $g_0 \in G_0$, there is a $t \in T$, and there is a $g'_0 \in G_0$ such that $g_0 \cdot tG_0 = s$ and $g_0tg'_0 = g$. Hence, because $(g'_0)^{-1} \in G_0$ and $t^{-1} \in T$,

$$g^{-1}G_0 = (g'_0)^{-1}t^{-1}g_0^{-1}G_0 = (g'_0)^{-1} \cdot t^{-1}G_0 \in S.$$

In conclusion, $S^{-1} \subseteq S$.

If T and G_0 are finite, then so is S . □

2. DIRECTED MULTIGRAPHS

Definition 2.1. Let V and E be two sets, and let σ and τ be two maps from E to V . The quadruple $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ is called *directed multigraph*; each element $v \in V$ is called *vertex*; each element $e \in E$ is called *edge from $\sigma(e)$ to $\tau(e)$* ; for each element $e \in E$, the vertex $\sigma(e)$ is called *source of e* and the vertex $\tau(e)$ is called *target of e* .

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a directed multigraph and let e be an edge of $\mathcal{G}$. The edge e is called *loop* if and only if $\tau(e) = \sigma(e)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a directed multigraph and let v be a vertex of $\mathcal{G}$.

- (1) The cardinal number

$$\deg^+(v) = |\{e \in E \mid \sigma(e) = v\}|$$

is called *out-degree of v* .

- (2) The cardinal number

$$\deg^-(v) = |\{e \in E \mid \tau(e) = v\}|$$

is called *in-degree of v* .

- (3) The cardinal number

$$\deg(v) = \deg^+(v) + \deg^-(v)$$

is called *degree of v* .

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a directed multigraph, and let v and v' be two vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. The vertices v and v' are called *adjacent* if and only if there is an edge from v to v' or one from v' to v .

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a directed multigraph and let $p = (e_i)_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ be a finite sequence of edges of $\mathcal{G}$. The sequence p is called *path from $\sigma(e_1)$ to $\tau(e_k)$* if and only if, for each index $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, we have $\tau(e_i) = \sigma(e_{i+1})$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a directed multigraph and let $p = (e_i)_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}}$ be a path in $\mathcal{G}$. The number $|p| = k$ is called *length of p* .

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a directed multigraph. It is called

- (1) *symmetric* if and only if, for each edge $e \in E$, there is an edge $e' \in E$ such that $\sigma(e') = \tau(e)$ and $\tau(e') = \sigma(e)$;
- (2) *strongly connected* if and only if, for each vertex $v \in V$ and each vertex $v' \in V$, there is a path p from v to v' ;
- (3) *regular* if and only if all vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ have the same degree and, for each vertex $v \in V$, we have $\deg^-(v) = \deg^+(v)$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a directed multigraph, let W be a subset of V , let F be the set $\{e \in E \mid \sigma(e), \tau(e) \in W\}$, let ς be the map $\sigma|_{F \rightarrow W}$, and let ν be the map $\tau|_{F \rightarrow W}$. The subgraph $\mathcal{G}[W] = (W, F, \varsigma, \nu)$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is called *induced by W* .

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau)$ be a symmetric and strongly connected directed multigraph. The map

$$d: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$(v, v') \mapsto \min\{|p| \mid p \text{ path from } v \text{ to } v'\},$$

is a metric on V and called *distance on $\mathcal{G}$* .

Definition 2.10. Let (V, E, σ, τ) be a directed multigraph, let Λ be a set, and let λ be a map from E to Λ . The quintuple $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, \sigma, \tau, \lambda)$ is called *Λ -edge-labelled directed multigraph*.

3. CAYLEY GRAPHS

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space and let S be a right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 3.1. Let E be the set $\{(m, s, m \triangleleft s) \mid m \in M, s \in S\}$, and let $\sigma: E \rightarrow M$, $\lambda: E \rightarrow S$, and $\tau: E \rightarrow M$ be the projections to the first, second, and third component respectively. The S -edge-labelled directed multigraph $\mathcal{G} = (M, E, \sigma, \tau, \lambda)$ is called *S -Cayley graph of $\mathcal{R}$* .

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the S -Cayley graph of $\mathcal{R}$.

- (1) If S is symmetric, then $\mathcal{G}$ is symmetric and strongly connected.
- (2) The following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $G_0 \in S$;
 - (b) At least one vertex of $\mathcal{G}$ has a loop;
 - (c) All vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ have a loop.
- (3) Because $\triangleleft$ is free, there are no multiple edges in $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 3.3. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the S -Cayley graph of $\mathcal{R}$, and let m and m' be two vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. The vertices m and m' are adjacent if and only if there is an element $s \in S$ such that $m \triangleleft s = m'$.

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the S -Cayley graph of $\mathcal{R}$ and let m be a vertex of $\mathcal{G}$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow m \triangleleft S, \\ s &\mapsto m \triangleleft s, \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection onto the out-neighbourhood of m . It is injective, because $\triangleleft$ is free, and it is surjective, by definition. Therefore, if S is symmetric, then the degree of m is $2|S|$ in cardinal arithmetic and the graph $\mathcal{G}$ is regular.

4. METRICS AND LENGTHS

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space and let S be a symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definitions 4.1 and 4.6 we define the S -metric d_S and the S -length $|_|_S$ on $\mathcal{R}$ induced by the S -Cayley graph. And in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 we show how the S -metric relates to the left group action $\triangleright$ and the right quotient set semi-action $\triangleleft$.

Definition 4.1. The distance on the S -Cayley graph of $\mathcal{R}$ is called *S -metric on $\mathcal{R}$* and denoted by d_S .

Remark 4.2. The S -metric on $\mathcal{R}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} d_S: M \times M &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \\ (m, m') &\mapsto \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists \{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S : \\ &\quad (((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_k = m'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let s be an element of S . Then, $d_S(m, m' \triangleleft s) \leq d_S(m, m') + 1$.*

Proof. Let $k = d_S(m, m')$. Then, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S$ such that $((((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_k = m'$. Hence, $((((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_k) \triangleleft s = m' \triangleleft s$. Therefore, $d_S(m, m' \triangleleft s) \leq d_S(m, m') + 1$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let g be an element of G . Then, $d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright m') = d_S(m, m')$.*

Proof. Let $k = d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright m')$. Then, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S$ such that $((((g \triangleright m) \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_k = g \triangleright m'$. Moreover, because $\triangleright$ and $\triangleleft$ semi-commute, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there is a $g_{i,0} \in G_0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\left(((g \triangleright m) \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2 \right) \triangleleft \dots \right) \triangleleft s_k \\ &= \left(\left((g \triangleright (m \triangleleft g_{1,0} \cdot s_1)) \triangleleft s_2 \right) \triangleleft \dots \right) \triangleleft s_k \\ &= \dots \\ &= g \triangleright \left(\left((m \triangleleft g_{1,0} \cdot s_1) \triangleleft g_{2,0} \cdot s_2 \right) \triangleleft \dots \right) \triangleleft g_{k,0} \cdot s_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $((((m \triangleleft g_{1,0} \cdot s_1) \triangleleft g_{2,0} \cdot s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft g_{k,0} \cdot s_k = m'$. Therefore, $d_S(m, m') \leq k = d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright m')$.

Taking $g \triangleright m$ for m , $g \triangleright m'$ for m' , and g^{-1} for g yields $d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright m') \leq d_S(g^{-1} \triangleright (g \triangleright m), g^{-1} \triangleright (g \triangleright m')) = d_S(m, m')$. In conclusion, $d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright m') = d_S(m, m')$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , let $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_S(m, m')\}}$ be a family of elements in S such that $m' = (((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m')}$, let i be an element of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, d_S(m, m')\}$, and let $m_i = (((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_i$. Then, $d_S(m, m_i) = i$.*

Proof. By definition of m_i , we have $d_S(m, m_i) \leq i$ and $d_S(m_i, m') \leq d_S(m, m') - i$. Therefore, because $d_S(m, m') \leq d_S(m, m_i) + d_S(m_i, m')$, we have $d_S(m, m_i) \geq d_S(m, m') - d_S(m_i, m') \geq d_S(m, m') - (d_S(m, m') - i) = i$. In conclusion, $d_S(m, m_i) = i$. $\square$

Definition 4.6. The map

$$\begin{aligned} |_|_S: M &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \\ m &\mapsto d_S(m_0, m), \end{aligned}$$

is called *S-length on $\mathcal{R}$* .

Remark 4.7. For each element $m \in M$, we have $|m|_S = 0$ if and only if $m = m_0$.

5. BALLS AND SPHERES

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space and let S be a symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definition 5.1 we define balls $\mathbb{B}_S$ and spheres $\mathbb{S}_S$ in the S -metric on $\mathcal{R}$. And in the lemmata and corollaries of this section we show how balls, spheres, the left group action $\triangleright$, the right quotient set semi-action $\leq$, and the S -metric relate to each other.

Definition 5.1. Let m be an element of M and let ρ be a non-negative integer.

(1) The set

$$\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) = \{m' \in M \mid d_S(m, m') \leq \rho\}$$

is called *ball of radius ρ centred at m* . The ball of radius ρ centred at m_0 is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_S(\rho)$.

(2) The set

$$\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho) = \{m' \in M \mid d_S(m, m') = \rho\}$$

is called *sphere of radius ρ centred at m* . The sphere of radius ρ centred at m_0 is denoted by $\mathbb{S}_S(\rho)$.

Remark 5.2. For each element $m \in M$, we have $\mathbb{S}_S(m, 0) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, 0)$, and, for each positive integer $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have $\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \setminus \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho - 1)$.

Remark 5.3. For each non-negative integer $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{B}_S(\rho) = \{m \in M \mid |m|_S \leq \rho\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}_S(\rho) = \{m \in M \mid |m|_S = \rho\}.$$

Definition 5.4. Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of sets.

(1) The set

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \bigcap_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ j \geq k}} A_j$$

is called *limit inferior of $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$* .

(2) The set

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \bigcup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ j \geq k}} A_j$$

is called *limit superior of $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$* .

(3) Let A be a set. The sequence $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is said to *converge to A* , the set A is called *limit set of $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$* , and A is denoted by $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k$ if and only if $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = A$.

(4) The sequence $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is called *convergent* if and only if $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a non-decreasing or non-increasing sequence of sets. It converges to $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_k$ or $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_k$ respectively. $\square$

Remark 5.6. For each element $m \in M$, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m, 0) = \{m\}$, and the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is non-decreasing with respect to inclusion and converges to M , and hence, for each non-negative integer ρ ,

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\rho' \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ \rho' \geq \rho}} \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho') = M.$$

Remark 5.7. For each element $m \in M$ and each non-negative integer $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in cardinal arithmetic,

$$|\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)| \leq (1 + |S|)^\rho,$$

because the map

$$(\{G_0\} \cup S)^\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho),$$

$$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\rho) \mapsto (((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_\rho,$$

is surjective and $|\{G_0\} \cup S|^\rho \leq (1 + |S|)^\rho$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ be a non-negative integer, and let s be an element of S . Then, $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \triangleleft s \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + 1)$.*

Proof. Let $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \triangleleft s$. Then, there is an $m'' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$ such that $m'' \triangleleft s = m'$. Hence, according to Lemma 4.3, we have $d_S(m, m') = d_S(m, m'' \triangleleft s) \leq d_S(m, m'') + 1 \leq \rho + 1$. Therefore, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + 1)$. In conclusion, $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \triangleleft s \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + 1)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.9. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ be a non-negative integer, and let g be an element of G . Then, $g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(g \triangleright m, \rho)$.*

Proof. First, let $m' \in g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Then, $g^{-1} \triangleright m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$ and thus $d_S(m, g^{-1} \triangleright m') \leq \rho$. Hence, according to Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(g \triangleright m, m') &= d_S(g^{-1} \triangleright (g \triangleright m), g^{-1} \triangleright m') \\ &= d_S(m, g^{-1} \triangleright m') \\ &\leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(g \triangleright m, \rho)$. In conclusion, $g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(g \triangleright m, \rho)$.

Secondly, let $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(g \triangleright m, \rho)$. Then, $d_S(g \triangleright m, m') \leq \rho$. Thus, according to Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(m, g^{-1} \triangleright m') &= d_S(g \triangleright m, g \triangleright (g^{-1} \triangleright m')) \\ &= d_S(g \triangleright m, m') \\ &\leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $g^{-1} \triangleright m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Therefore, $m' \in g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. In conclusion, $\mathbb{B}_S(g \triangleright m, \rho) \subseteq g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. $\square$

Corollary 5.10. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ be a non-negative integer, and let g_m be an element of G_m . Then, $g_m \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. In particular, $G_m \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$.*

Proof. Because $g_m \triangleright m = m$, this is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.9. $\square$

Corollary 5.11. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let ρ be a non-negative integer. Then, $|\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)| = |\mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho)|$.*

Proof. Because there is a $g \in G$ such that $g \triangleright m = m'$, and $g \triangleright _$ is injective, this is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.9. $\square$

Lemma 5.12. *Let m and m' be two elements of M and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then, $m \trianglelefteq m' = g_{m_0, m} \triangleright m'$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G_{m_0, m'}$. Then, $G_{m_0, m'} = gG_0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m \trianglelefteq m' &= m \trianglelefteq G_{m_0, m'} \\ &= m \trianglelefteq gG_0 \\ &= g_{m_0, m} g \triangleright m_0 \\ &= g_{m_0, m} \triangleright (g \triangleright m_0) \\ &= g_{m_0, m} \triangleright m'. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.13. *Let m , m' , and m'' be three elements of M and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then, there is an element $g_0 \in G_0$ such that $(m \trianglelefteq m') \trianglelefteq m'' = m \trianglelefteq (m' \trianglelefteq (g_0 \triangleright m''))$.*

Proof. Because $\trianglelefteq$ is a right semi-action, there is an element $g_0 \in G_0$ such that $m \trianglelefteq g_{m_0, m'} \cdot g_0 \cdot G_{m_0, m''} = (m \trianglelefteq g_{m_0, m'} G_0) \trianglelefteq G_{m_0, m''}$. And, under the identification of M with G/G_0 , we have $G_{m_0, m''} = m''$, $g_{m_0, m'} G_0 = G_{m_0, m'} = m'$, and $g_{m_0, m'} \cdot g_0 \cdot G_{m_0, m''} = m' \trianglelefteq (g_0 \triangleright m'')$. Therefore, $m \trianglelefteq (m' \trianglelefteq (g_0 \triangleright m'')) = (m \trianglelefteq m') \trianglelefteq m''$. $\square$

Corollary 5.14. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ be a non-negative integer, and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then, $m \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 5.12 and Lemma 5.9,

$$\begin{aligned} m \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho) &= g_{m_0, m} \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(\rho) \\ &= g_{m_0, m} \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(m_0, \rho) \\ &= \mathbb{B}_S(g_{m_0, m} \triangleright m_0, \rho) \\ &= \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.15. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ and ρ' be two non-negative integers, and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then, $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho') = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \rho')$.*

Proof. First, let $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$. Then, there is an $m'' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$ such that $m' \in m'' \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$. Moreover, according to Corollary 5.14, we have $m'' \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho') = \mathbb{B}_S(m'', \rho')$. Hence, because d_S is subadditive, $d_S(m, m') \leq d_S(m, m'') + d_S(m'', m') \leq \rho + \rho'$. Therefore, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \rho')$. In conclusion, $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho') \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \rho')$.

Secondly, let $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \rho')$.

Case 1: $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Then, because $m_0 \in \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$, we have $m' = m' \trianglelefteq m_0 \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$.

Case 2: $m' \notin \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Then, there is a $j \in \{\rho + 1, \rho + 2, \dots, \rho + \rho'\}$ and there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}} \subseteq S$ such that $((m'' \trianglelefteq s_{\rho+1}) \trianglelefteq s_{\rho+2}) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s_{\rho+\rho'} = m'$, where $m'' = (((m \trianglelefteq s_1) \trianglelefteq s_2) \trianglelefteq \dots) \trianglelefteq s_\rho \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Hence, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m'', \rho') = m'' \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho') \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$.

In either case, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$. In conclusion, $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \rho') \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\rho')$. $\square$

Definition 5.16. Let A and A' be two subsets of M . The non-negative number or infinity

$$d_S(A, A') = \min\{d_S(a, a') \mid a \in A, a' \in A'\}$$

is called *distance of A and A'* , where we put $\min \emptyset = \infty$. In the case that $A = \{a\}$, we write $d_S(a, A')$ in place of $d_S(\{a\}, A')$; and in the case that $A' = \{a'\}$, we write $d_S(A, a')$ in place of $d_S(A, \{a'\})$.

Lemma 5.17. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let ρ be a non-negative integer such that $\rho \leq d_S(m, m')$. Then, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') = d_S(m, m') - \rho$.*

Proof. Let $\rho' = d_S(m, m')$.

Then, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \rho'\}}$ such that $((m \trianglelefteq s_1) \trianglelefteq s_2) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s_{\rho'} = m'$. Let $m'' = (((m \trianglelefteq s_1) \trianglelefteq s_2) \trianglelefteq \dots) \trianglelefteq s_\rho$. Then, $((m'' \trianglelefteq s_{\rho+1}) \trianglelefteq s_{\rho+2}) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s_{\rho'} = m'$. And, according to Lemma 4.5, we have $m'' \in \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho)$. Thus, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') \leq d_S(m'', m') \leq \rho' - \rho$.

Suppose that $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') < \rho' - \rho$. Then, there is an $m'' \in \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho)$ such that $d_S(m'', m') < \rho' - \rho$. Hence, $d_S(m, m') \leq d_S(m, m'') + d_S(m'', m') < \rho + (\rho' - \rho) = \rho'$, which contradicts $d_S(m, m') = \rho'$. Therefore, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') \geq \rho' - \rho$.

In conclusion, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') = \rho' - \rho = d_S(m, m') - \rho$. $\square$

Corollary 5.18. *Let m be an element of M , and let ρ and ρ' be two non-negative integers such that the spheres $\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho)$ and $\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho')$ are non-empty. Then, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho')) = |\rho - \rho'|$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\rho \leq \rho'$. Then, for each $m' \in \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho')$, according to Lemma 5.17, we have $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') = \rho' - \rho$. In conclusion, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho')) = \rho' - \rho = |\rho - \rho'|$. $\square$

Corollary 5.19. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let ρ be a non-negative integer. Then, $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') \geq |d_S(m, m') - \rho|$.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho) = \emptyset$, then $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') = \infty \geq |d_S(m, m') - \rho|$. Otherwise, let $\rho' = d_S(m, m')$. Then, $\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho') \neq \emptyset$. Hence, according to Corollary 5.18, we have $d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), m') \geq d_S(\mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{S}_S(m, \rho')) = |\rho - \rho'| = |d_S(m, m') - \rho|$. $\square$

Lemma 5.20. *Let m and m' be two elements of M , and let ρ and ρ' be two non-negative integers such that $\rho + \rho' \leq d_S(m, m')$. Then, $d_S(\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')) = d_S(m, m') - (\rho + \rho')$.*

Proof. For each $m_\rho \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$ and each $m'_{\rho'} \in \mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')$, because d_S is subadditive,

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(m, m') &\leq d_S(m, m_\rho) + d_S(m_\rho, m'_{\rho'}) + d_S(m'_{\rho'}, m') \\ &\leq \rho + d_S(m_\rho, m'_{\rho'}) + \rho', \end{aligned}$$

and hence $d_S(m_\rho, m'_{\rho'}) \geq d_S(m, m') - (\rho + \rho')$. Therefore, $d_S(\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')) \geq d_S(m, m') - (\rho + \rho')$.

Moreover, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_S(m, m')\}}$ such that $((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \cdots \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m')} = m'$. Let $m_\rho = ((m \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft \cdots) \triangleleft s_\rho$ and let $m'_{\rho'} = ((m_\rho \triangleleft s_{\rho+1}) \triangleleft \cdots) \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m') - \rho'}$. Then, $m_\rho \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$ and, because $((m'_{\rho'} \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m') - \rho' + 1}) \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m') - \rho' + 2}) \cdots \triangleleft s_{d_S(m, m')} = m'$, we have $m'_{\rho'} \in$

$\mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')$. And, $d_S(m_\rho, m'_{\rho'}) \leq d_S(m, m') - \rho' - \rho$. Therefore, $d_S(\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')) \leq d_S(m, m') - (\rho + \rho')$.

In conclusion, $d_S(\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho), \mathbb{B}_S(m', \rho')) = d_S(m, m') - \rho - \rho'$. $\square$

6. INTERIORS, CLOSURES, AND BOUNDARIES

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space and let S be a symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definition 6.1 we define θ -interiors $A^{-\theta}$, θ -closures $A^{+\theta}$, and (internal/external) θ -boundaries $\partial_\theta A$, $\partial_\theta^- A$, or $\partial_\theta^+ A$. And in the lemmata and corollaries of this section we characterise them and show how they and the S -metric relate to each other.

Definition 6.1. Let A be a subset of M , let θ be a non-negative integer, and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$.

(1) The set

$$A^{-\theta} = A^{-\mathbb{B}_S(\theta)} \quad (= \{m \in M \mid m \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) \subseteq A\})$$

is called *θ -interior of A* .

(2) The set

$$A^{+\theta} = A^{+\mathbb{B}_S(\theta)} \quad (= \{m \in M \mid (m \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta)) \cap A \neq \emptyset\})$$

is called *θ -closure of A* .

(3) The set

$$\partial_\theta A = A^{+\theta} \setminus A^{-\theta} \quad (= A^{+\mathbb{B}_S(\theta)} \setminus A^{-\mathbb{B}_S(\theta)} = \partial_{\mathbb{B}_S(\theta)} A)$$

is called *θ -boundary of A* .

(4) The set

$$\partial_\theta^- A = A \setminus A^{-\theta}$$

is called *internal θ -boundary of A* .

(5) The set

$$\partial_\theta^+ A = A^{+\theta} \setminus A$$

is called *external θ -boundary of A* .

Lemma 6.2. Let A be a subset of M and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. For each non-negative integer $\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

(1) $A^{-\theta} = \{m \in A \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) \subseteq A\}$;

$$(2) \quad A^{+\theta} = \bigcup_{m \in A} \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) = A \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta).$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $m \in M$.

(1) According to Corollary 5.14,

$$A^{-\theta} = \{m \in M \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) \subseteq A\}.$$

Therefore, because $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta)$,

$$A^{-\theta} = \{m \in A \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) \subseteq A\}.$$

(2) According to Corollary 5.14,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{+\theta} &= \{m \in M \mid (m \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta)) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{m \in M \mid \exists m' \in A : m' \in m \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta)\} \\ &= \{m \in M \mid \exists m' \in A : m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, because of the symmetry of d_S , for each $m' \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) &\iff d_S(m, m') \leq \theta \\ &\iff m \in \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to Corollary 5.14,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{+\theta} &= \{m \in M \mid \exists m' \in A : m \in \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta)\} \\ &= \bigcup_{m' \in A} \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta) \\ &= \bigcup_{m' \in A} m' \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) \\ &= A \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.3. *Let m be an element of M , let ρ be a non-negative integer, and let θ be a non-negative integer. Then,*

- (1) $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)^{-\theta} \supseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho - \theta)$;
- (2) $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)^{+\theta} = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \theta)$;
- (3) $\partial_\theta \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \theta) \setminus \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho - \theta)$.

Proof. (1) According to Corollary 5.15, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho - \theta) \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)$. Hence, according to Definition 6.1, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho - \theta) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)^{-\theta}$.

- (2) According to Item 2 of Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 5.15, we have
 $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho)^{+\theta} = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, \rho + \theta)$.
- (3) This is a direct consequence of Items 1 and 2. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. *Let A be a subset of M , and let θ and θ' be two non-negative integers. The following statements hold:*

- (1) $(A^{-\theta})^{-\theta'} = A^{-(\theta+\theta')}$;
- (2) $\partial_{\theta'}^- A^{-\theta} = A^{-\theta} \setminus A^{-(\theta+\theta')}$;
- (3) $(A^{+\theta})^{+\theta'} = A^{+(\theta+\theta')}$;
- (4) $\partial_{\theta'}^+ A^{+\theta} = A^{+(\theta+\theta')} \setminus A^{+\theta}$;
- (5) Let $\theta' \leq \theta$. Then, $A^{+(\theta-\theta')} \subseteq (A^{+\theta})^{-\theta'}$ and $(A^{-\theta})^{+\theta'} \subseteq A^{-(\theta-\theta')}$.

Proof. (1) For each $m' \in A$, according to Corollary 5.14 and Lemma 6.2, we have $m' \in A^{-\theta}$ if and only if $m' \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) = \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta) \subseteq A$. Hence, according to Corollary 5.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A^{-\theta})^{-\theta'} &= \{m' \in A \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta') \subseteq A^{-\theta}\} \\
 &= \{m' \in A \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta') \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) \subseteq A\} \\
 &= \{m' \in A \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m', \theta + \theta') \subseteq A\} \\
 &= A^{-(\theta+\theta')}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (2) According to Item 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_{\theta'}^- A^{-\theta} &= A^{-\theta} \setminus (A^{-\theta})^{-\theta'} \\
 &= A^{-\theta} \setminus A^{-(\theta+\theta')}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (3) According to Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 5.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A^{+\theta})^{+\theta'} &= A^{+\theta} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta') \\
 &= \left(\bigcup_{m \in A} \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) \right) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta') \\
 &= \bigcup_{m \in A} \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta) \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta') \\
 &= \bigcup_{m \in A} \mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta + \theta') \\
 &= A^{+(\theta+\theta')}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(4) According to Item 3,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\theta'}^+ A^{+\theta} &= (A^{+\theta})^{+\theta'} \setminus A^{+\theta} \\ &= A^{+(\theta+\theta')} \setminus A^{+\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

(5) According to Lemma 6.2 and Item 3,

$$\begin{aligned}A^{+(\theta-\theta')} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta') &= (A^{+(\theta-\theta')})^{+\theta'} \\ &= A^{+((\theta-\theta')+\theta')} \\ &= A^{+\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $m \in A^{+(\theta-\theta')}$, according to Corollary 5.15, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m, \theta') = m \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta') \subseteq A^{+\theta}$ and, in particular, $m \in A^{+\theta}$. Therefore, according to Lemma 6.2, we have $A^{+(\theta-\theta')} \subseteq (A^{+\theta})^{-\theta'}$.

According to Lemma 6.2, Item 3, and Definition 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{-\theta})^{+\theta'} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta - \theta') &= ((A^{-\theta})^{+\theta'})^{+(\theta-\theta')} \\ &= (A^{-\theta})^{+\theta'+(\theta-\theta')} \\ &= (A^{-\theta})^{+\theta} \\ &= A^{-\theta} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{B}_S(\theta) \\ &\subseteq A.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to Definition 6.1, we have $(A^{-\theta})^{+\theta'} \subseteq A^{-(\theta-\theta')}$. $\square$

Lemma 6.5. *Let k be a non-negative integer, and let A and A' be two subsets of M . Then, $d_S(A, A' \setminus A^{+k}) \geq k + 1$.*

Proof. If A or $A' \setminus A^{+k}$ is empty, then $d_S(A, A' \setminus A^{+k}) = \infty \geq k + 1$. Otherwise, let $m' \in A' \setminus A^{+k}$. According to [8, Item 3 of Lemma 1], we have $A' \setminus A^{+k} = (A' \setminus A)^{-k}$. Hence, according to Lemma 6.2, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m', k) \subseteq A' \setminus A$. Therefore, for each $m \in A$, we have $m \notin \mathbb{B}_S(m', k)$ and hence $d_S(m, m') \geq k + 1$. Thus, $d_S(A, m') \geq k + 1$. In conclusion, $d_S(A, A' \setminus A^{+k}) \geq k + 1$. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Let k be a non-negative integer, let k' be a positive integer, and let A be a subset of M . Then, $d_S(A, \partial_k^+ A^{+k}) \geq k + 1$.*

Proof. Because $\partial_{k'}^+ A^{+k} = (A^{+k})^{+k'} \setminus A^{+k}$, this is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.5. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *Let A be a finite subset of M and let S' be the set $\{G_0\} \cup S$. There is a non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

$$A \subseteq \{m \in M \mid \exists \{s'_i\}_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,k\}} \subseteq S' : (((m_0 \trianglelefteq s'_1) \trianglelefteq s'_2) \trianglelefteq \dots) \trianglelefteq s'_k\}.$$

Proof. If A is empty, then any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ works. Otherwise, let $k = \max_{a \in A} d_S(m_0, a)$. Because A is finite, we have $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the choice of k , we have $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}(m_0, k)$. And, because $G_0 \in S'$ and $_ \trianglelefteq G_0 = \text{id}_M$, we have $\mathbb{B}(m_0, k) = \{m \in M \mid \exists \{s'_i\}_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,k\}} \subseteq S' : (((m_0 \trianglelefteq s'_1) \trianglelefteq s'_2) \trianglelefteq \dots) \trianglelefteq s'_k\}$. In conclusion, the stated inclusion holds. $\square$

7. GROWTH FUNCTIONS AND TYPES

In this section we recapitulate growth functions and types, more or less as presented in the monograph ‘Cellular Automata and Groups’[2].

Definition 7.1. Let γ be a map from $\mathbb{N}_0$ to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It is called *growth function* if and only if it is *non-decreasing*, that is to say, that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall k' \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (k \leq k' \implies \gamma(k) \leq \gamma(k')).$$

Definition 7.2. Let γ and γ' be two growth functions. The growth function γ is said to *dominate* γ' and we write $\gamma \succcurlyeq \gamma'$ if and only if

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_+ : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+ : \alpha \cdot \gamma(\alpha \cdot k) \geq \gamma'(k).$$

Definition 7.3. Let γ and γ' be two growth functions. They are called *equivalent* and we write $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ if and only if $\gamma \succcurlyeq \gamma'$ and $\gamma' \succcurlyeq \gamma$.

Lemma 7.4 ([2, Proposition 6.4.3]).

- (1) *The relation $\succcurlyeq$ is reflexive and transitive.*
- (2) *The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.*
- (3) *If $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ and $\gamma'_1 \sim \gamma'_2$, then $\gamma_1 \succcurlyeq \gamma'_1$ implies $\gamma_2 \succcurlyeq \gamma'_2$.*

Definition 7.5. Let γ be a growth function. The equivalence class of γ with respect to $\sim$ is denoted by $[\gamma]_{\sim}$ and called *growth type*.

Definition 7.6. Let Γ and Γ' be two growth types. The growth type Γ is said to *dominate* Γ' and we write $\Gamma \succcurlyeq \Gamma'$ if and only if

$$\exists \gamma \in \Gamma \exists \gamma' \in \Gamma' : \gamma \succcurlyeq \gamma'.$$

Example 7.7 ([2, Examples 6.4.4]).

- (1) The growth function $[k \mapsto k]$ dominates $\mathbf{1}$ but they are not equivalent.

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have $k \geq \mathbf{1}(k)$. But, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, for example $k = \alpha + 1$, such that $\alpha \mathbf{1}(\alpha k) = \alpha < k$. $\square$

- (2) Let r and s be two non-negative real numbers. Then, $[k \mapsto k^r] \succcurlyeq [k \mapsto k^s]$ if and only if $r \geq s$. And, $[k \mapsto k^r] \sim [k \mapsto k^s]$ if and only if $r = s$.
- (3) Let γ be a growth function such that it is a polynomial function of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, $\gamma \sim [k \mapsto k^d]$.
- (4) Let r and s be two elements of $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$. Then, $[k \mapsto r^k] \sim [k \mapsto s^k]$. In particular, $[k \mapsto r^k] \sim \exp$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $r \leq s$. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have $r^k \leq s^k$. Hence, $[k \mapsto r^k] \preccurlyeq [k \mapsto s^k]$. Moreover, let $\alpha = \lceil \log_r s \rceil \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$s^k = (r^{\log_r s})^k = r^{(\log_r s)k} \leq r^{\alpha k} \leq \alpha r^{\alpha k}.$$

Hence, $[k \mapsto r^k] \succcurlyeq [k \mapsto s^k]$. In conclusion, $[k \mapsto r^k] \sim [k \mapsto s^k]$. $\square$

- (5) Let d be a non-negative integer. Then, $\exp \succcurlyeq [k \mapsto k^d]$ and $[k \mapsto k^d] \approx \exp$.

Proof. See [2, Examples 6.4.4 (d)]. $\square$

Lemma 7.8. Let γ be a growth function and let d be a non-negative integer such that $[k \mapsto k^d] \succcurlyeq \gamma$. Then, $\exp \succcurlyeq \gamma$ and $\exp \approx \gamma$.

Proof. According to Item 5 of Example 7.7, we have $\exp \succcurlyeq [k \mapsto k^d]$ and $\exp \approx [k \mapsto k^d]$. Hence, because $\succcurlyeq$ is transitive and $[k \mapsto k^d] \succcurlyeq \gamma$, we have $\exp \succcurlyeq \gamma$ and $\exp \approx \gamma$. $\square$

8. CELL SPACES' GROWTH FUNCTIONS AND TYPES

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space such that there is a finite and symmetric right generating set S of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definition 8.1 we define the S -growth function γ_S of $\mathcal{R}$. In Lemma 8.3 and its corollaries we show that γ_S is dominated by $\exp$ and that the $\sim$ -equivalence class $[\gamma_S]_{\sim}$ does not depend on S . In Definition 8.10 we define the growth type $\gamma(\mathcal{R})$ of $\mathcal{R}$ as that equivalence class. In Lemma 8.13 and its corollary we relate the inclusion-behaviour of the sequence of balls to the cardinality of M . And in Definition 8.17 we define the terms ‘exponential growth’, ‘sub-exponential growth’, ‘polynomial growth’, and ‘intermediate growth of $\mathcal{R}$ ’.

Definition 8.1. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_S : \mathbb{N}_0 &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \\ k &\mapsto |\mathbb{B}_S(k)|, \end{aligned}$$

is called *S -growth function of $\mathcal{R}$* .

Remark 8.2. According to Remark 5.6, we have $\gamma_S(0) = 1$ and the sequence $(\gamma_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is non-decreasing with respect to the partial order $\leq$. Moreover, according to Remark 5.7, for each non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq (1 + |S|)^k$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let S' be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$ and let α be the non-negative integer $\min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathbb{B}_S(1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k)\}$. Then,*

$$\forall m \in M \forall m' \in M : d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot d_S(m, m'),$$

in particular,

$$\forall m \in M : |m|_{S'} \leq \alpha \cdot |m|_S.$$

Proof. For each $m \in M$, let $\alpha_m = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathbb{B}_S(m, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(m, k)\}$, in particular, $\alpha_{m_0} = \alpha$.

Proof of: $\forall m \in M : \alpha_m = \alpha$. Let $m \in M$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $g \in G_{m_0, m}$. Then, because $g \triangleright _$ is bijective, $\mathbb{B}_S(1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k)$ if and only if $g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(1) \subseteq g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k)$. Moreover, according to Lemma 5.9, we have $g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_S(1) = \mathbb{B}_S(m, 1)$ and $g \triangleright \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k) = \mathbb{B}_{S'}(m, k)$. Therefore,

$\mathbb{B}_S(1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k)$ if and only if $\mathbb{B}_S(m, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(m, k)$. In conclusion, $\alpha_m = \alpha$.

Proof by induction on the distance, that is, proof by induction on k of

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall m \in M \forall m' \in M :$$

$$(d_S(m, m') = k \implies d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot k).$$

Base Case. Let $k = 0$. Furthermore, let m and $m' \in M$ such that $d_S(m, m') = k$. Then, $m = m'$. Hence, $d_{S'}(m, m') = 0$. Therefore, $d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot k$.

Inductive Step. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\forall m \in M \forall m' \in M : (d_S(m, m') = k \implies d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot k).$$

Furthermore, let m and $m'' \in M$ such that $d_S(m, m'') = k + 1$. Then, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}} \subseteq S$ such that $m' \triangleleft_{s_{k+1}} m''$, where $m' = (((m \triangleleft_{s_1}) \triangleleft_{s_2}) \triangleleft_{s_3}) \dots \triangleleft_{s_k}$. And, according to Lemma 4.5, we have $d_S(m, m') = k$. Therefore, according to the inductive hypothesis, $d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot k$. Moreover, by definition of $\alpha_{m'}$, we have $m'' = m' \triangleleft_{s_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{B}_S(m', 1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(m', \alpha_{m'})$. Hence, because $\alpha_{m'} = \alpha$, we have $d_{S'}(m', m'') \leq \alpha_{m'} = \alpha$. In conclusion, because $d_{S'}$ is subadditive, $d_{S'}(m, m'') \leq d_{S'}(m, m') + d_{S'}(m', m'') \leq \alpha \cdot k + \alpha = \alpha \cdot (k + 1)$. $\square$

Corollary 8.4. *In the situation of Lemma 8.3, for each element $m \in M$ and each non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(m, k) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(m, \alpha \cdot k)$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 8.3, because for each element $m \in M$, each non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and each element $m' \in M$, if $d_S(m, m') \leq k$, then $d_{S'}(m, m') \leq \alpha \cdot k$. $\square$

Corollary 8.5. *In the situation of Lemma 8.3, for each non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq \gamma_{S'}(\alpha \cdot k)$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 8.4. $\square$

Definition 8.6. Let X be a set, and let d and d' be metrics on X . The metrics d and d' are called *Lipschitz equivalent* if and only if there are positive real numbers κ and $\varkappa$ such that $\kappa \cdot d \leq d' \leq \varkappa \cdot d$.

Corollary 8.7. *Let S' be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$. The metrics d_S and $d_{S'}$ are Lipschitz equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathbb{B}_S(1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{S'}(k)\}$ and let $\alpha' = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathbb{B}_{S'}(1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(k)\}$. If $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha' = 0$, then $M = \{m_0\}$, hence $d_S = 0 = d_{S'}$, and therefore $d_S \leq d_{S'} \leq d_S$. Otherwise, according to Lemma 8.3, we have $\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot d_{S'} \leq d_S \leq \alpha' \cdot d_{S'}$. $\square$

Corollary 8.8. *Let S' be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$. The S -growth function γ_S of $\mathcal{R}$ and the S' -growth function $\gamma_{S'}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. According to Corollary 8.5, there is a $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq \gamma_{S'}(\alpha \cdot k)$. Hence, according to Remark 8.2, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq (\alpha + 1)\gamma_{S'}((\alpha + 1) \cdot k)$. Therefore, γ_S is dominated by $\gamma_{S'}$. Switching roles of S and S' yields that $\gamma_{S'}$ is dominated by γ_S . In conclusion, γ_S and $\gamma_{S'}$ are equivalent. $\square$

Corollary 8.9. *The S -growth function γ_S of $\mathcal{R}$ is dominated by $\exp$.*

Proof. According to Remark 8.2, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq r^k$, where $r = 1 + |S|$. Hence, $\gamma_S \preceq [k \mapsto r^k]$. Moreover, according to Item 4 of Example 7.7, we have $[k \mapsto r^k] \sim \exp$. In conclusion, $\gamma_S \preceq \exp$. $\square$

Definition 8.10. The equivalence class $\gamma(\mathcal{R}) = [\gamma_S]_{\sim}$ is called *growth type of $\mathcal{R}$* .

Lemma 8.11 ([2, Proposition 6.4.6]). *Let γ be a growth function such that $\gamma(0) > 0$. Then, γ is equivalent to $\mathbf{1}$ if and only if γ is bounded.*

Corollary 8.12. *The set M is finite if and only if the growth types $\gamma(\mathcal{R})$ and $[\mathbf{1}]_{\sim}$ are equal.*

Proof. First, let M be finite. Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \leq |M| = |M| \cdot \mathbf{1}(|M| \cdot k)$.

Secondly, let $\gamma(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathbf{1}]_{\sim}$. Then, according to Lemma 8.11, γ_S is bounded by some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Therefore, because $M = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{B}_S(k)$, $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $\subseteq$, and $(\gamma_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} = (|\mathbb{B}_S(k)|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, we have $|M| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \gamma_S(k) \leq \xi$. In conclusion, M is finite. $\square$

Lemma 8.13. *Either the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$ or eventually constant, that is to say, that there is a non-negative integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that, for each non-negative integer $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k' \geq k$, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.*

Proof. According to Remark 5.6, the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $\subseteq$. If it is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$, it is not eventually constant. Otherwise, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathbb{B}_S(k) = \mathbb{B}_S(k+1)$. We proof by induction on k' that, for each $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k' \geq k$, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.

Base Case. Let $k' = k$. Then, $\mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.

Inductive Step. Let $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k' \geq k$ such that $\mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$. Furthermore, let $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k' + 1)$.

Case 1: $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k')$. Then, according to the inductive hypothesis, $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.

Case 2: $m \notin \mathbb{B}_S(k')$. Then, there is a $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k'+1\}} \subseteq S$ such that $m' \triangleleft s_{k'+1} = m$, where $m' = (((m_0 \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \triangleleft \dots) \triangleleft s_{k'}$. Hence, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(k')$ and thus, according to the inductive hypothesis, $m' \in \mathbb{B}_S(k)$. Therefore, according to Lemma 5.8, we have $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k+1)$. Thus, because $\mathbb{B}_S(k+1) = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$, we have $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.

In either case, $m \in \mathbb{B}_S(k)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{B}_S(k' + 1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(k) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(k') \subseteq \mathbb{B}_S(k' + 1)$. In conclusion, $\mathbb{B}_S(k' + 1) = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$.

In conclusion, $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is eventually constant. $\square$

Corollary 8.14. *The set M is infinite if and only if the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$.*

Proof. First, let M be infinite. Suppose that $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is eventually constant. Then, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that, for each $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k' \geq k$, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$. Hence, according to Remark 5.6, we have $M = \bigcup_{k' \in \mathbb{N}_0, k' \geq k} \mathbb{B}_S(k') = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$ and therefore, according to Remark 5.7, the set M is finite, which contradicts the precondition that M is infinite. Thus, $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is not eventually constant. In conclusion, according to Lemma 8.13, the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$.

Secondly, let $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$. Then, because $M = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{B}_S(k)$, the set M is infinite. $\square$

Corollary 8.15. *The set M is infinite if and only if*

$$(1) \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \mathbb{S}_S(\rho) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. We have $\mathbb{S}_S(0) = \{m_0\} \neq \emptyset$. And, according to Remark 5.2, for each $\rho \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have $\mathbb{S}_S(\rho) = \mathbb{B}_S(\rho) \setminus \mathbb{B}_S(\rho - 1)$. Hence, $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$ if and only if Eq. (1) holds. Therefore, according to Corollary 8.14, the set M is infinite if and only if Eq. (1) holds. $\square$

Lemma 8.16. *The set M is infinite if and only if the growth type of $\mathcal{R}$ dominates $[k \mapsto k]_\sim$.*

Proof. First, let M be infinite. Then, according to Corollary 8.14, the sequence $(\mathbb{B}_S(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\subseteq$. Hence, because $\mathbb{B}_S(0) = \{m_0\}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) = |\mathbb{B}_S(k)| \geq k + 1$. In conclusion, γ_S dominates $[k \mapsto k]$ and hence $\gamma(\mathcal{R})$ dominates $[k \mapsto k]_\sim$.

Secondly, let M be finite. Then, according to Corollary 8.12, we have $\mathcal{R} = [1]_\sim$. Hence, according to Item 1 of Example 7.7, the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ does not dominate $[k \mapsto k]_\sim$. $\square$

Definition 8.17. The cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is said to have

- (1) *exponential growth* if and only if its growth type $\gamma(\mathcal{R})$ is equal to $[\exp]_\sim$;
- (2) *sub-exponential growth* if and only if it does not have exponential growth;
- (3) *polynomial growth* if and only if there is a non-negative integer $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that γ_S is dominated by $[k \mapsto k^d]$.
- (4) *intermediate growth* if and only if it has sub-exponential growth but not polynomial growth.

Lemma 8.18. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ have polynomial growth. The cell space $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth.*

Proof. There is a $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $[k \mapsto k^d] \succcurlyeq \gamma_S$. Hence, according to Lemma 7.8, $\gamma_S \asymp \exp$. In conclusion, $\gamma(\mathcal{R}) \neq [\exp]_\sim$. $\square$

9. GROWTH RATES

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a cell space such that there is a finite and symmetric right generating set S of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definition 9.2 we define the S -growth rate of $\mathcal{R}$. And in Lemma 9.3 show how that growth rate and exponential growth relate to each other.

Lemma 9.1. *The sequence $(\sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to $\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proof. According to Corollary 5.15,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_S(k + k') &= |\mathbb{B}_S(k + k')| \\ &= |\mathbb{B}_S(k) \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_S(k')| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{B}_S(k)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_S(k')| \\ &= \gamma_S(k) \cdot \gamma_S(k'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to [2, Lemma 6.5.1], the sequence $(\sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to $\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)}$. Moreover, because, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\gamma_S(k) \geq 1$, that limit point must be in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. $\square$

Definition 9.2. The limit point $\lambda_S = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)}$ is called S -growth rate of $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 9.3. *The S -growth rate λ_S of $\mathcal{R}$ is greater than 1 if and only if the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ has exponential growth.*

Proof. First, let $\lambda_S > 1$. According to Lemma 9.1, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)} \geq \lambda_S$ and hence $\gamma_S(k) \geq \lambda_S^k$. Therefore, γ_S dominates $\lambda_S^{(\cdot)}$ and, because $\lambda_S > 1$, the growth function $\lambda_S^{(\cdot)}$ is equivalent to $\exp$, and thus γ_S dominates $\exp$. Moreover, according to Corollary 8.9, the growth function γ_S is dominated by $\exp$. Altogether, γ_S is equivalent to $\exp$. In conclusion, $\gamma(\mathcal{R}) = [\gamma_S]_{\sim} = [\exp]_{\sim}$.

Secondly, let $\gamma(\mathcal{R}) = [\exp]_{\sim}$. Then, γ_S and $\exp$ are equivalent. In particular, γ_S dominates $\exp$. Hence, there is a $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have $\alpha \gamma_S(\alpha k) \geq \exp(k)$. Therefore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt[\alpha k]{\alpha} \sqrt[\alpha k]{\gamma_S(\alpha k)} &= \sqrt[\alpha k]{\alpha \gamma_S(\alpha k)} \\ &\geq \sqrt[\alpha k]{\exp(k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sqrt[\alpha]{e}.$$

Thus, because $(\sqrt[\alpha k]{\alpha})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ converges to 1 and $(\sqrt[\alpha k]{\gamma_S(\alpha k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$, as subsequence of $(\sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, converges to λ_S , we conclude $\lambda_S \geq \sqrt[\alpha]{e} > 1$. $\square$

Corollary 9.4. *The S -growth rate λ_S of $\mathcal{R}$ is equal to 1 if and only if the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 9.3. $\square$

Corollary 9.5. *Let S' be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$. The S -growth rate λ_S of $\mathcal{R}$ is equal to 1 or greater than 1 if and only if the S' -growth rate $\lambda_{S'}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is equal to 1 or greater than 1 respectively.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 9.4 and Lemma 9.3. $\square$

10. AMENABILITY, FØLNER CONDITIONS/NETS, AND ISOPERIMETRIC CONSTANTS

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a finitely right generated cell space such that the stabiliser G_0 is finite, and let S be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

In Definition 10.3 we define the S -isoperimetric constant of $\mathcal{R}$, which measures, broadly speaking, the invariance under $\triangleleft|_{M \times S}$ that a finite subset of M can have, where 0 means maximally and 1 minimally invariant. In Theorem 10.5 we show that $\mathcal{R}$ is right amenable if and only if a kind of Følner condition holds, which in turn holds if and only if the S -isoperimetric constant is 0. And in Theorem 10.6 we characterise right Følner nets using ρ -boundaries.

Remark 10.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be two elements of G/G_0 , and let A , B , and C be three sets. Then,

$$(_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A \setminus B) = (_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A) \setminus (_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(B)$$

and

$$((_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A) = (_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})^{-1}((_ \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A)).$$

Remark 10.2. Let A , B , and C be three finite sets. Then,

$$|A \setminus B| \leq |A \setminus C| + |C \setminus B|.$$

Definition 10.3. Let E be a subset of G/G_0 and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set $\{F \subseteq M \mid F \text{ is non-empty and finite}\}$. The non-negative real number

$$\iota_E(\mathcal{R}) = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{|\bigcup_{e \in E} F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq e)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|}$$

is called *E-isoperimetric constant of $\mathcal{R}$* .

Lemma 10.4. Let A be a subset of M , let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be two elements of G/G_0 , and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then,

$$(_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A) \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq (\mathfrak{g} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}'))^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{g_0 \in G_0} (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A)).$$

Proof. Let $m \in (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A) \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq (\mathfrak{g} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}'))^{-1}(A)$. Then, according to Lemma 5.13, there is a $g_0 \in G_0$ such that $(m \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}' = m \trianglelefteq (\mathfrak{g} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}') \notin A$. Therefore, $m \notin ((_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A)$ and hence $m \in (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A) \setminus ((_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A)$. Moreover, according to Remark 10.1, we have $((_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A) = (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}((_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A))$. Thus, according to Remark 10.1, we have $(_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A) \setminus ((_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}) \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A) = (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A))$. Hence, $m \in \bigcup_{g_0 \in G_0} (_ \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g})^{-1}(A \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot \mathfrak{g}')^{-1}(A))$. $\square$

Theorem 10.5. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is right amenable;
- (2) For each positive real number $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there is a non-empty and finite subset F of M such that

$$(2) \quad \forall s \in S : \frac{|F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} < \varepsilon;$$

- (3) The isoperimetric constant $\iota_S(\mathcal{R})$ is 0.

Proof. $1 \implies 2$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be right amenable. Then, according to [7, Main Theorem 4], there is a right Følner net in $\mathcal{R}$. Hence, according to [7, Lemma 9], for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there is a non-empty and finite $F \subseteq M$ such that Eq. (2) holds.

$2 \implies 1$. For each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let there be a non-empty and finite $F \subseteq M$ such that Eq. (2) holds. Furthermore, let $\varepsilon' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let $E \subseteq G/G_0$ be

finite, and identify M with G/G_0 by $[m \mapsto G_{m_0, m}]$. Then, according to Lemma 6.7, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$E \subseteq \{m \in M \mid \exists \{s'_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S' : ((m_0 \trianglelefteq s'_1) \trianglelefteq s'_2) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s'_k\},$$

where $S' = \{G_0\} \cup S$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/(|G_0|^2 \cdot k)$ and let $F \subseteq M$ be non-empty and finite such that Eq. (2) holds. Furthermore, let $e \in E$. Then, there is a $\{s'_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \subseteq S'$ such that $((m_0 \trianglelefteq s'_1) \trianglelefteq s'_2) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s'_k = e$. For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, let $m_i = ((m_0 \trianglelefteq s'_1) \trianglelefteq s'_2) \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq s'_i$ and let $F_i = (_ \trianglelefteq m_i)^{-1}(F)$. Note that $m_k = e$ and that $F_0 = F$. Then, according to Remark 10.2,

$$\begin{aligned} |F \setminus F_k| &= |F_0 \setminus F_k| \\ &\leq |F_0 \setminus F_1| + |F_1 \setminus F_k| \\ &\leq |F_0 \setminus F_1| + |F_1 \setminus F_2| + |F_2 \setminus F_k| \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |F_{i-1} \setminus F_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then, because $m_i = m_{i-1} \trianglelefteq s'_i$, we have $F_{i-1} \setminus F_i = (_ \trianglelefteq m_{i-1})^{-1}(F) \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq (m_{i-1} \trianglelefteq s'_i))^{-1}(F)$. Hence, according to Lemma 10.4, we have $F_{i-1} \setminus F_i \subseteq \bigcup_{g_0 \in G_0} (_ \trianglelefteq m_{i-1})^{-1}(F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot s'_i)^{-1}(F))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{i-1} \setminus F_i| &\leq \left| \bigcup_{g_0 \in G_0} (_ \trianglelefteq m_{i-1})^{-1}(F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot s'_i)^{-1}(F)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{g_0 \in G_0} |(_ \trianglelefteq m_{i-1})^{-1}(F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot s'_i)^{-1}(F))|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to [8, Corollary 1],

$$|F_{i-1} \setminus F_i| \leq \sum_{g_0 \in G_0} |G_0| \cdot |F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq g_0 \cdot s'_i)^{-1}(F)|.$$

Hence, because $G_0 \cdot S' \subseteq S'$, $F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq G_0)^{-1}(F) = F \setminus F = \emptyset$, and Eq. (2) holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{i-1} \setminus F_i| &< \sum_{g_0 \in G_0} |G_0| \cdot \varepsilon \cdot |F| \\ &= |G_0|^2 \cdot \varepsilon \cdot |F| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |G_0|^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon'}{|G_0|^2 \cdot k} \cdot |F| \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon'}{k} |F|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|F \setminus F_k| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |F_{i-1} \setminus F_i| < k \frac{\varepsilon'}{k} |F| = \varepsilon' |F|.$$

Thus, because $F_k = (_ \trianglelefteq e)^{-1}(F)$,

$$\frac{|F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq e)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} < \varepsilon'.$$

Hence, according to [7, Lemma 3.9], there is a right Følner net in $\mathcal{R}$. In conclusion, according to [7, Theorem 5.1], the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is right amenable.

2 $\implies$ 3. Let $\varepsilon' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/|S|$. Then, there is a non-empty and finite $F \subseteq M$ such that Eq. (2) holds. Therefore,

$$\frac{|\bigcup_{s \in S} F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} \leq \sum_{s \in S} \frac{|F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} < |S| \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon'.$$

In conclusion, $\iota_S(\mathcal{R}) = 0$.

3 $\implies$ 2. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, because $\iota_S(\mathcal{R}) = 0$, there is a non-empty and finite $F \subseteq M$ such that

$$\frac{|\bigcup_{s \in S} F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for each $s \in S$, because $F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{s' \in S} F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s')^{-1}(F)$,

$$\frac{|F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)|}{|F|} < \varepsilon.$$

In conclusion, Eq. (2) holds. $\square$

Theorem 10.6. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net in $\{F \subseteq M \mid F \neq \emptyset, F \text{ finite}\}$ indexed by $(I, \leq)$. It is a right Følner net in $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if*

$$(3) \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\partial_\rho F_i|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

Proof. First, let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a right Følner net. Furthermore, let ρ be a non-negative integer. Then, for each index $i \in I$, we have $\partial_\rho F_i = \partial_{\mathbb{B}(\rho)} F_i$. And, according to Remark 5.7, the ball $\mathbb{B}(\rho)$ is finite. Hence, according to [8, Theorem 1],

$$\lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbb{B}(\rho)} F_i|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

In conclusion, Eq. (3) holds.

Secondly, let Eq. (3) hold. Furthermore, let N be a finite subset of G/G_0 . Then, according to Remark 5.6, there is a non-negative integer ρ such that $N \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\rho)$. Hence, for each index $i \in I$, according to [8, Item 4 of Lemma 1], we have $\partial_N F_i \subseteq \partial_{\mathbb{B}(\rho)} F_i = \partial_\rho F_i$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\partial_N F_i|}{|F_i|} = 0.$$

In conclusion, according to [8, Theorem 1], the net $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a right Følner net. $\square$

11. SUBEXPONENTIAL GROWTH AND AMENABILITY

In this section, let $\mathcal{R} = ((M, G, \triangleright), (m_0, \{g_{m_0, m}\}_{m \in M}))$ be a finitely right generated cell space such that the stabiliser G_0 is finite.

In Main Theorem 11.1 we show that if $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth, then it is right amenable. And in Theorem 11.3 we show that if G has sub-exponential growth, then so has $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 11.1 ([2, Lemma 6.11.1]). *Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then,*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{k+1}}{r_k} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{r_k}.$$

Main Theorem 11.1. *Let the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ have sub-exponential growth. It is right amenable.*

Proof. Let S be a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$. According to Lemma 11.1 and Corollary 9.4,

$$1 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_S(k+1)}{\gamma_S(k)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\gamma_S(k)} = \lambda_S = 1.$$

Therefore, $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_S(k+1)}{\gamma_S(k)} = 1$.

Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $\frac{\gamma_S(k)}{\gamma_S(k-1)} < 1 + \varepsilon$. Hence, $\gamma_S(k) - \gamma_S(k-1) < \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_S(k-1)$. Furthermore, let $F = \mathbb{B}_S(k)$ and let $s \in S$. Then, according to Lemma 5.8, we have $\mathbb{B}_S(k-1) \subseteq (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)$. Therefore, because $\mathbb{B}_S(k-1) \subseteq F$ and $\gamma_S(k-1) \leq \gamma_S(k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F \setminus (_ \trianglelefteq s)^{-1}(F)| &\leq |F \setminus \mathbb{B}_S(k-1)| \\ &= |F| - |\mathbb{B}_S(k-1)| \\ &= \gamma_S(k) - \gamma_S(k-1) \\ &< \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_S(k-1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \gamma_S(k) \\ &= \varepsilon \cdot |F|. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, according to Theorem 10.5, the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ is right amenable. $\square$

Lemma 11.2. *Let the group G be finitely generated. The growth rate of G dominates the one of $\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. There is a finite and symmetric generating set T of G such that $G_0 T \subseteq T$. And, according to Lemma 1.4, the set $S = \{tG_0 \mid t \in T\} = \{g_0 \cdot tG_0 \mid g_0 \in G_0, t \in T\}$ is a finite and symmetric right generating set of $\mathcal{R}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be a non-negative integer. Furthermore, let m be an element of $\mathbb{B}_S^{\mathcal{R}}(k)$. Then, there is a non-negative integer $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and a family $\{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}}$ of elements in S such that

$$m = \left(((m_0 \trianglelefteq s_1) \trianglelefteq s_2) \trianglelefteq \dots \right) \trianglelefteq s_j.$$

And, by the definition of S , there is a family $\{t_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}}$ of elements in T such that $\{t_i G_0\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}} = \{s_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}}$. And, because $\trianglelefteq$ is a right semi-action, there is a family $\{g_{i,0}\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}}$ of elements in G_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} m &= (((m_0 \trianglelefteq s_1) \trianglelefteq s_2) \trianglelefteq \dots) \trianglelefteq s_j \\ &= m_0 \trianglelefteq t_1 g_{2,0} t_2 g_{3,0} t_3 \dots g_{j,0} t_j G_0 \\ &= g_{1,0} t_1 g_{2,0} t_2 g_{3,0} t_3 \dots g_{j,0} t_j \triangleright m_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{1,0} = g_{m_0,m_0}$. And, because $G_0T \subseteq T$, the family $\{g_{i,0}t_i\}_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,j\}}$ is one of elements in T . Hence, $m \in \mathbb{B}_T^G(j) \triangleright m_0 \subseteq \mathbb{B}_T^G(k) \triangleright m_0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{B}_S^{\mathcal{R}}(k) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_T^G(k) \triangleright m_0$ and thus

$$|\mathbb{B}_S^{\mathcal{R}}(k)| \leq |\mathbb{B}_T^G(k) \triangleright m_0| \leq |\mathbb{B}_T^G(k)|.$$

Hence, $\gamma_S^{\mathcal{R}}(k) \leq \gamma_T^G(k)$. In conclusion, γ_T^G dominates $\gamma_S^{\mathcal{R}}$ and thus $\gamma(G)$ dominates $\gamma(\mathcal{R})$. $\square$

Theorem 11.3. *Let the group G be finitely generated and let it have sub-exponential growth. The cell space $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth and is right amenable.*

Proof. According to Lemma 11.2, the cell space $\mathcal{R}$ has sub-exponential growth. Hence, according to Main Theorem 11.1, it is right amenable. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Cayley, Arthur (1878). Desiderata and suggestions: No. 2. The Theory of groups: graphical representation. American Journal of Mathematics 1 (2): 174–176. doi:10.2307/2369306. JSTOR 2369306. In his Collected Mathematical Papers 10: 403–405.
- [2] Ceccherini-Silberstein, Tullio and Coornaert, Michel. Cellular Automata and Groups. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [3] Efremovich, Vadim Arsenyevich: The geometry of proximity. I. Mat. Sbornik N. S. 31(73), 189–200 (1952)
- [4] Gromov, Mikhail Leonidovich: Infinite groups as geometric objects, Proc. Int. Congress Math. Warsaw 1983 1 (1984), 385–392
- [5] Švarc, Albert S.: A volume invariant of coverings. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 105, 32–34 (1955)
- [6] Wacker, Simon. Cellular Automata on Group Sets and the Uniform Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon Theorem. Cellular Automata and Discrete Complex Systems (2016), pages 185–198. arXiv:1603.07271 [math.GR].
- [7] Wacker, Simon. Right Amenable Left Group Sets and the Tarski-Følner Theorem. Preprint (2016). arXiv:1603.06460 [math.GR].
- [8] Wacker, Simon. The Garden of Eden Theorem for Cellular Automata on Group Sets. Cellular Automata (2016), pages 66–78. arXiv:1603.07272 [math.GR].

SIMON WACKER, DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS, KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, AM FASANENGARTEN 5, 76131 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

E-mail address: `simon.wacker@kit.edu`